

## Annex 6 Indicative plan for evaluation of the OPE in the period 2007-2013

Subject matter of evaluation	Type of evaluation	Method of evaluation	Justification of need	Main questions for evaluation	Timetable	Internal / external	Indicative budget in EUR Source: ESF: 85% SB: 15%
1. Evaluation of the effectiveness of the management system in the OPE	operational	Assessment of the effectiveness of the activity of the MA, the IBMA and the MC for the OPE, analysis of the management system and cooperation between the MA and the IBMA in the implementation of the OPE	verification of the effectiveness and efficiency of the management system for the OPE, the accurate execution of delegated powers, the adequacy of the degree of power delegated to the IBMA and the efficient use of financial resources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Are the MA, the IBMA and the MC for the OPE performing their functions in accordance with the programming document for the OPE, the mandate on the delegation of powers and the statute and rules of procedure of the MC for the OPE?</li> <li>- Is the cooperation between the MA and the IBMA adequate for the implementation of the OPE?</li> <li>- Is the administrative capacity of the MA and the IBMA sufficient and used efficiently?</li> <li>- What opportunities exist to improve cooperation between the MA and the IBMA?</li> <li>- Have the invested funds been used efficiently and transparently?</li> </ul>	2009	E	20 000

2. Evaluation of the correctness of the design of the system of measurable indicators and the functionality of the monitoring system	Thematic	analysis of the system of indicators and the monitoring system	measurement/verification of the accuracy and adequacy of the design of the system of indicators, verification of the functionality of the monitoring system including data collection	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Is it necessary to make changes to the system of indicators for the OPE and/or changes to specific indicators?</li> <li>- Is it necessary to adjust the target values selected for indicators?</li> <li>- Is the system of indicators at the project level relevant for monitoring the fulfilment of indicators and objectives at the programme level?</li> <li>- Does the monitoring system work?</li> <li>- Does the monitoring system ensure that the necessary amount of information is collected?</li> </ul>	2010	E	25 000 – 40 000
3. Evaluation of progress in the implementation of the OPE in terms of the relevance and the fulfilment of the objectives of the OP	Strategic	ITMS, ongoing monitoring of projects (including the implementation of national projects relating to the implementation of school reform) in the form of monitoring reports on projects and the resulting indicators, case studies, comparisons,	identification of the implementation status of the OPE (including evaluation of the contribution of the implemented national projects to the objectives set for school reform) and success in the achievement of the objectives of the OP, identification of any corrective measures or revision of the OP objectives that may be required	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Are the objectives of the OPE still relevant to the needs and problems of the target groups?</li> <li>- Have the objectives set at the level of the OPE and the priority axis been achieved?</li> <li>- Does the structure of the priority axes and the measures of the OPE need updating?</li> <li>- Is the design of the implementation system for the OPE efficient and functional?</li> <li>- What progress has been made in the implementation of the OPE from a financial point of view?</li> </ul>	2011	I/E	66 000 - 99 000

				- Is the absorption capacity in the given area sufficient?			
4. Evaluation of the success of the implementation of projects focussing on members of marginalised Roma communities	Thematic	analysis of data acquired through the monitoring of projects, case studies,	evaluation of projects focussed on members of MRC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- What benefits have projects focussing on MRC produced in terms of the social inclusion of the MRC?</li> <li>- Are the objectives of the OPE still relevant to the needs/problems of MRC?</li> <li>- Is the absorption capacity in the given area sufficient?</li> <li>- Have resources already invested in addressing the social inclusion of the MRC been used effectively?</li> </ul>	2010-2011	E	16 500 – 50 000