


# Periodické hodnotenie výskumnej, vývojovej, umeleckej a ďalšej tvorivej činnosti

## Spätná väzba od žiadateľov


**PLÁN [OBNOVY]**

 **MINISTERSTVO**  
ŠKOLSTVA, VEDY,  
VÝSKUMU A ŠPORTU  
SLOVENSKEJ REPUBLIKY

 **EURÓPSKA ÚNIA**  
Európsky sociálny fond  
Európsky fond regionálneho rozvoja


# Postup výberu výstupov vedie k reprezentatívnejmu výberu pracoviska.

193 odpovedí



Podmienky na zaradenie zamestnancov do hodnotenia sú primerané.


193 odpovedí



- súhlasím
- nesúhlasím

Predloženie aspoň piatich výstupov za šesťročné obdobie hodnotenia je primeraná požiadavka.


193 odpovedí



- súhlasím
- nesúhlasím


Hodnotenie zahraničnými nezávislými hodnotiteľmi zvyšuje objektivnosť hodnotenia.

193 odpovedí




Online webináre na podávanie žiadostí boli užitočné a informatívne.

193 odpovedí



Manuály a smernice k podávaniu žiadostí boli užitočné a informatívne.


193 odpovedí



- súhlasím
- nesúhlasím

# Informačný systém VER 2022 bol prehľadný a užívateľsky priateľský.


193 odpovedí






Komunikácia so zamestnancami ministerstva bola prínosná a nápomocná.

193 odpovedí




Hodnotenie formou tzv. "peer-review" je potrebným doplnením kvantitatívneho hodnotenia

193 odpovedí




## Výsledky periodického hodnotenia poskytnú náhľad na výkon pracoviska.

193 odpovedí



Periodické hodnotenie je len ďalším cvičením ministerstva, z ktorého nič nebude.

193 odpovedí




# Periodické hodnotenie výskumnej, vývojovej, umeleckej a ďalšej tvorivej činnosti

## Spätná väzba od hodnotiteľov


**PLÁN [OBNOVY]**

 **MINISTERSTVO**  
ŠKOLSTVA, VEDY,  
VÝSKUMU A ŠPORTU  
SLOVENSKEJ REPUBLIKY





 **EURÓPSKA ÚNIA**  
Európsky sociálny fond  
Európsky fond regionálneho rozvoja


# 1. Communication with the employees of the Ministry was helpful.

● Completely disagree	1
● Disagree	1
● Agree	37
● Completely agree	128



2. The materials and instructions presented by the Ministry were clear and provided in a timely manner.

	Completely disagree	2
	Disagree	14
	Agree	85
	Completely agree	67



### 3. The VER software was user-friendly and clear.


● Completely disagree	0
● Disagree	18
● Agree	92
● Completely agree	57






4. The information and instructions during the meetings were substantial and useful.

● Completely disagree	0
● Disagree	4
● Agree	63
● Completely agree	100




5. The total of 25 outputs per application was adequate.

● Completely disagree	0
● Disagree	14
● Agree	92
● Completely agree	61




## 6. The opportunity to choose our own evaluation criteria was beneficial.

● Completely disagree	3
● Disagree	18
● Agree	66
● Completely agree	80




7. The creation of a quality profile without releasing the specific ranking of individual outputs is adequate.

● Completely disagree	3
● Disagree	10
● Agree	80
● Completely agree	74




8. I consider a peer-review approach without relying on quantitative indicators to be appropriate.

● Completely disagree	1
● Disagree	22
● Agree	77
● Completely agree	67




9. The work in the panels/sub-panels was collegial and my opinions were respected.

● Completely disagree	1
● Disagree	2
● Agree	18
● Completely agree	147




10. I consider consensual decision-making to be a fair approach.

● Completely disagree	0
● Disagree	0
● Agree	34
● Completely agree	134



## 11. Co-operation between panels and sub-panels was beneficial.

● Completely disagree	0
● Disagree	7
● Agree	85
● Completely agree	76





## In general, from an international comparative perspective, how would you assess the state of research in Slovakia in your area of expertise/scientific field?


- Generally lower, but improving, with a number of units with solid potential for growth.
- It lies more or less exactly where I would think in terms of the research quality of most European states.
- In all honesty, most Slovak scholars are not writing for sizeable international audiences. They are writing for their peers and national audiences.
- Not all the outputs which were submitted for evaluation were adequate.
- There were some outstanding works of global significance but by far the vast majority of outputs addressed the national level and did not engage with academia beyond the national level.
- Diverse, every institution is capable of producing World leading research.
- I read many solid outputs that could have been more impactful with small changes in methods, argumentation, or theoretical placement within the context of the broader literature.
- Some peaks of excellence where Slovak-based scientists are doing work of a very high standard, but there is also a long 'tail' of a rather poor quality.
- Overall, I was impressed with research in Slovakia, some outputs were very thorough.
- I think from a general perspective the research in Slovakia can be assessed as Internationally Recognised.
- It is very interesting and good, but can greatly improve.

## What general recommendations would you give to the Slovak researchers/Slovak research institutions so that they can improve the quality of their research in your area of expertise/scientific field?

- They should improve the international character of the research. So they need to interact more with international group.
- Convert a local case study perspective of your research problems into a bigger picture of how this problem is important globally.
- Show greater ambition in developing and applying advanced methods, theories, tackle more challenging problems.
- Identify strategic areas where more human resources and infrastructure can be placed into a single field to create synergistic effects, e.g. centers of excellence around a topic that Slovakia is good at.
- Improve visibility by trying to publish in good international journals rather than low impact journals.

PLÁN [OBNOVY]


 MINISTERSTVO  
ŠKOLSTVA, VEDY,  
VÝSKUMU A ŠPORTU  
SLOVENSKEJ REPUBLIKY

 EURÓPSKA ÚNIA  
Európsky sociálny fond  
Európsky fond regionálneho rozvoja

- Interdisciplinary research with international research groups is key to enhancing scientific impact.
- Ensure there are good opportunities for scientific exchange, and at all career stages (from PhD. up to Professor).
- Encourage publication in international peer-reviewed journals rather than in edited books.
- Focus on fewer outputs of a higher quality - write less but at greater length and underpinned by new research findings.
- The number one goal for Slovak researchers is to lead international teams and research publications in the future.
- Address topics that have potential for broader impact. When working on local issues, identify ways in which results can be placed in a broader, international context.

**PLÁN [OBNOVY]**


 **MINISTERSTVO**  
**ŠKOLSTVA, VEDY,**  
**VÝSKUMU A ŠPORTU**  
**SLOVENSKEJ REPUBLIKY**

 **EURÓPSKA ÚNIA**  
Európsky sociálny fond  
Európsky fond regionálneho rozvoja

Ďakujeme za pozornosť.

**PLÁN [OBNOVY]**

 **MINISTERSTVO**  
ŠKOLSTVA, VEDY,  
VÝSKUMU A ŠPORTU  
SLOVENSKEJ REPUBLIKY

 **EURÓPSKA ÚNIA**  
Európsky sociálny fond  
Európsky fond regionálneho rozvoja